

#### **REPUBLIC OF SOUTH-AFRICA**

HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA

NOTICE REGARDING IMPLEMENTATION OF THE JUDGE PRESIDENT'S DIRECTIVES FOR THE UNOPPOSED MOTION COURT BEFORE JUDGE DS FOURIE ON 7 December 2022 .

#### Secretary: Tsepang Mpuri

Tel.: 012 – 492 6772 Email:<u>TsMpuru@judiciary.org.za</u> / <u>TsMpuru@gmail.com</u>

- The proceedings will be conducted in open court (court 6E) starting at 10:00am.
- A <u>complete Practice Note</u>, stating the <u>nature of the application</u> for the date on which the application is on the roll, should be <u>filed on Caselines</u> setting out at least the following:
  - 2.1 Was the matter previously postponed? If so, what was the reason for the postponement?
  - 2.2 If the papers consist of more than just the application concerned, (for instance, previous applications or pleadings not relevant to the application) the application must be properly identified by reference to the section and page number to avoid a search for it;
  - 2.3 The return of service relevant to the date of hearing must be identified by reference to the section and page number;
    - 2.4 If service by the Sheriff was not necessary, the reason for that must be stated. The other form of service must then be identified by reference to the section and page number;

- 2.5 If personal service is necessary, (for instance in sequestration applications) it must be stated and if this requirement was not complied with, reasons must be provided;
- 2.6 Where certain practice or legal requirements have to be complied with (for instance in Rule 46A applications) compliance with <u>all those</u> <u>requirements</u> must be <u>clearly stated</u> and then <u>also identified</u> in the papers by reference to the section, page number(s) and paragraph;
- 2.7 If any Rule, Regulation or Statute is applicable it must be stated and compliance therewith be identified in the papers by reference to the section, page number and paragraph;
- 2.8 If there is any problem with the application, it must be clearly identified and disclosed. If there is no problem, it must be submitted that the papers are in order;
- 2.9 Name and contact details of counsel and the attorney (tel nr and email address) must be stated.
- If there is any other information to be conveyed, it must also be stated in the practice note.
- 4. Failure to file a practice note in compliance with this Notice, dealing with each of the par referred to above separately and completely (i.e. par by par individually), to assist the court in considering the application properly, may result in the application be struck off the roll. If a particular par is not applicable, then it must be so indicated and not merely be ignored or omitted. The filing of heads of argument will not replace the duty to file a practice note in terms of this Directive. This requirement will be strictly applied.
- In all applications where heads of argument must be filed, a failure to do so will also result in the application being struck of the roll.

- A proper and complete Draft Order, indicating the date and particulars of the Judge, (using MS Word enabling variation thereof) must be <u>filed on</u> <u>Caselines</u> for the court to consider.
- 7. <u>The Practice Note, Draft order and/or heads of argument (if applicable)</u> <u>must be filed by no later than 9:00am on Tuesday 6 December 2022,</u> failing which the application will be struck off the roll. Filing must be done on <u>CASELINES ONLY (and NOT by email to Me T Mpuru</u>).
- 8. <u>It is the responsibility of the applicant's attorney to ensure that the</u> <u>matter is TIMEOUSLY, PROPERLY AND FULLY uploaded onto Caselines</u> <u>and that the Judge and his clerk will have access to the matter at the</u> <u>latest at 9:00 on the day before the date of hearing to enable the Judge</u> <u>to read the papers. Late filing or updates will not be accepted.</u>
- 9. <u>In divorce matters</u> the plaintiff must file an affidavit on the merits and the attorney an affidavit on the authenticity of the marriage certificate.
- 10. <u>A failure to comply fully with this notice may result in the matter be</u> <u>struck off the roll.</u>

DS FOURIE JUDGE OF THE HIGH COURT PRETORIA

#### **Yvonne Badenhorst**

From:	Sonya Gillissen <sgillissen90@gmail.com></sgillissen90@gmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, 01 December 2022 11:38
То:	PTA Bar; Jhb bar; jhbadmin@law.co.za; Yvonne Badenhorst; hes1@law.co.za; Sandra Botes; ppv; motions pretoria
Cc:	tsmpuru@judiciary.org.za; tsmpuru@gmail.com
Subject:	Unopposed Motions and Roll Notice - 7 December 2022 - DS Fourie J
Attachments:	7 Des 2022 - Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions before Judge DS Fourie.doc; 7 Dec 2022 - UNOPPOSED JUDGE FOURIE J+JUDGE VAN DER SCHYFF J+STRIJDOM AJ.rtf

Good day,

Attached the Notice with regard to Unopposed Motions and roll before Judge Fourie on 7 December 2022.

Kindly circulated and upload the Notice and Roll on the relevant platforms and chambers.

Your assistance in this regard will be greatly appreciated!

Regards Sonya Gillissen

### **IN THE HIGH COURT OF SOUTH AFRICA**

### (GAUTENG DIVISION PRETORIA)

## (UNOPPOSED MATTERS UPLOADED ON CASELINES)

## 07 DECEMBER 2022

#### **BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE FOURIE J**

1.	STD BANK LTD	VS	J A VAN NIEKERK+1	26462/22
2.	ABSA BANK LTD	VS	S M TLOU	3497/22
3.	V M NUKERI	VS	RAF	6022/22
4.	FAST ISSUER	VS	M M VIERRA	53874/20
5.	C E ABDUL	VS	E MULAUDZI	63498/20
6.	S KANZI	VS	B HUSSEIN	26382/22
7.	EX PARTE: A STEYN			022799/22
8.	ABSA BNK LTD	VS	M B NKADIMENG	9547/22

9. J SWARTS+2	VS	TRUBUILT ENGINEERING+2	3958/22
10. N E MABASA	VS	RAF	6023/22
11. J P TIBANE	VS	SAPS COMMISSIONER	39714/20
12. EX PARTE: N M SEKGOBELA			B38982/22
13. FNB BANK LTD	VS	A R HERSIG	10103/22
14. STD BANK LTD	VS	Μ Ε ΚΕΚΑΚΝΑ	6221/22
15. EX B BRIMER			022765/22
16. APHANE ATTORNEYS	VS	A R JEENA	008887/22
17. FNB BANK LTD	VS	Τ Μ RAMANYIMI	26605/20
18. G N HLONGWANI	VS	RAF	28060/22
19. EX PARTE: N NWANAMUDZEN	A		57552/19
20. STD BANK LTD	VS	L B MATLANYANI	61518/19

21. DISCOVERY LIFE	VS	DISCLOSEALL CC	022959/22
22. EX PARTE: H G ADENDORFF			016893/22
23. FNB BANK LTD	VS	N D DA SILVA	6150/22
24. T P KHASHANE	VS	MIN OF HOME AFFAIRS+1	29661/22
25. AFRICA CASH&CARRY	VS	ADVANCE WAREHOUSING LTD	027156/22
26. EX PARTE: C PALMER			014526/22
27. ROCKTUFF PUMP	VS	DEY MINE LTD	017708/22
28. STAR ARRIVAL LTD	VS	JHB FREIGHT LTD	021388/22
29. EX PARTE: W POTGIETER			023284/22
30. EX PARTE: L D VARFALVI			45728/20
31. BOTHA STEEL TRADERS	VS	M CROSS(NO DOCUMENT	016117/22
32. HYDROTECH INFRA	VS	RENEC D/MENT (no case filter	021330/22

33. JUGGERNAUT TRUCKING	VS	G A NORTON ( no case filter	023829/22
34. JUGGERNAUT TRUCKING	VS	M VAN NIEKERK(no document	024156/22
35. C NELL	VS	D G THLOMELANG(no case filter	006236/22

## 07 DECEMBER 2022

# **BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE VAN DER SCHYFF J**

36. FNB BANK LTD	VS	T MOTLOUNG	17905/21
37. EX PARTE: M E KRUGER			B38983/22
38. PRONTO	VS	LUZANA CIVILS@BUILDING+1	72581/18
39. PENATRON LTD	VS	EXPERT MINING	022610/22
40. D P MAGADLA	VS	GEMS	42272/19
41. C J MBEKI	VS	L MBEKI	49790/20
42. EX PARTE: L SMIT			042780/22
43. J COETZER+15	VS	MASTER OF COURT, PTA	17735/22
44. H N HLONGWANI	VS	RAF	28061/22
45. STD BANK LTD	VS	T R CHOSHI+1	59535/21
46. C MKHABELA	VS	J MKHABELA	16511/22

47. FNB BANK LTD	VS	K CHIHWENDU	38728/20
48. STD BANK LTD	VS	N B LEKHETHOA	70044/19
49. EX PARTE: C J ENGELBRECHT			017428/22
50. NEDBANK LTD	VS	D S GWENZI	24824/21
51. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	L MOEPENG	17861/22
52. N D MBHELE	VS	DEPT OF HOME AFFAIRS	007799/22
53. B/C OF GEORGIE	VS	O THAGE	28579/20
54. FNB BANK LTD	VS	B M LEFIFI	8115/22
55. S D MOLLO	VS	A L MOLLO	38464/22
56. NEBANK LTD	VS	B M THWALA	60550/21
57. EX PARTE: J M BRICE+1			27307/21
58. H M MAUPE	VS	J S MAUPE	63248/18

59. EX PARTE: L D VARFALVI

60. CARBON WITE LTD	VS	AFT AGGREGATES LTD	022418/22
61. MUSTEK LTD	VS	INANDA TECHNOLOGIES	020041/22
62. EX PARTE: R CLAASSENS			022636/22
63. B/C OF CERES	VS	N MASILO	021329/22
64. EX PARTE: Y GROVE			022695/22
65. EX PARTE: ADV M R NGOBENI			9385/16
66. B/C OF SUNNYSIDE	VS	M G NCUBE	012081/22
67. KANSAI PLASCON	VS	T TRAFFIC CC ( no case filter	027814/22
68. B/C OF SANTA MARIA	VS	B J MOYO (no case filter	020760/22
69. B/C OF SANTA MARIA	VS	D I PULLIN ( no document	021353/22
70. ORCHARDS HOME ASS	VS	M PROPERTIES (no document	010363/22

# 07 DECEMBER 2022

# **BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE STRIJDOM AJ**

71. PSS LTD	VS	CITY OF TSHWANE	14055/21
72. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	C M MOTHLE	9546/22
73. A M MOKOATEDI	VS	L L MOKOATEDI	85981/19
74. EX PARTE: N GOMOMO+1			045091/22
75. EX PARTE: B VAN SITTERT			025180/22
76. M VAN DEN BERG	VS	J B SCHOEMAN+1	044793/22
77. EX PARTE: D N BEKKER			023455/22
78. FNB BANK LTD	VS	E STANDER	6857/22
79. T P MATHEBULA	VS	RAF	6021/22
80. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	F N MASHALABA	20322/20

81. R G NICHOLAS	VS	J A SCHULTZ	035229/22
82. EX PARTE; A M KOCH			023416/22
83. EX PARTE: C J VON STRAATE			044943/22
84. S F RODGERS	VS	N J JUGERNATH+1	54083/15
85. STD BANK LTD	VS	A L MBULAWA+1	37397/19
86. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	T V MATLAKALA	48863/21
87. NEDBANK LTD	VS	J N ADENIRAN+1	7290/22
88. EX PARTE: L H HAYLEY			023488/22
89. G J M SCHUTTE+1			023482/22
90. TOYOTA FINANCIAL	VS	MAGWERA IMPORT+1	52990/21
91. SALPC	VS	D P SPANGENBERG	49542/21
92. FNB BANK LTD	VS	J C KRUGEL+1	6855/22

93. EX PARTE: L BARNARD			044963/22
94. ABSA BANK LTD	VS	S J DU PLESSIS	42198/21
95. RAND AGRI LTD	VS	VALUE CHAIN FOODS	022634/22
96. R REYNENE VERVOER	VS	PHAMBILI GLOBAL LTD+2	025193/22
97. EX PARTE: M V MEERSBERGEN	+1		033373/22
98. B/C OF PICCOLO	VS	T CAUNTER	009873/22
99. B/C OF WAPADRAND	VS	UKHOZI CONTRACTORS	019627/22
100. UNIFIED PAYROLL	VS	APPLEMED SA LTD	023277/22
101. SPECIALISTS FRANCHISE	VS	C VAN RENSBURG (no case filter	023309/22
102. ZIYBUYA OUTSOURCING	VS	SEBENZILE PROJECTS( no case filter	023307/22
103. B/C OF ACUBENS	VS	K FOFORANE ( no case filter	015844/22
104. TREDEV PROJECTS	VS	HILLPET CC ( no case filter	020096/22